

A Practical and Efficient Synthesis of (±)- Camptothecin[#]

Subhash P. Chavan* and M. S. Venkatraman

Division of Organic Chemistry: Technology National Chemical Laboratory, Pune 411 008 INDIA.

Received 27 May 1998; accepted 6 July 1998

Abstract:

A practical and efficient synthesis of (±)-camptothecin from glycine via an intramolecular Michael addition is described. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: glycine; Wittig reaction; intramolecular Michael reaction; DIBAL-H reduction; camptothecin

The search for practical yet efficient syntheses of bioactive molecules has been the focus of our interest. In this connection, camptothecin 1[1] was chosen as a target molecule owing to its anticancer properties.

Camptothecin 1 has regained its position as a leading candidate in cancer chemotherapy with the development of certain derivatives viz. Topotecan[2] and Irinotecan[2] which have proved to be far superior as compared to the parent compound 1. Although a number of syntheses[3-9] of camptothecin have appeared following its initial isolation by Wall et al., none is practical enough for large scale synthesis. Thus, there is a need for a more practical and efficient synthesis of camptothecin.

A retrosynthesis (Scheme-1) of camptothecin revealed an intramolecular Michael addition as a probable route with quinoline 6 as a key intermediate.

Scheme-1

The quinoline 6 was prepared starting from the Schiff's base 7a/7b. Alkylation of 7a and 7b under phase transfer conditions[10,11] using tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate (TBAHSO₄) as the catalyst and 10% aqueous NaOH as base provided the Schiff's bases 8a and 8b respectively in excellent yields. Hydrolysis to the amine followed by protection as a urethane gave 9 in 96% yield. Tandem Michael-Dieckmann[12] condensation using ethyl acrylate as the Michael acceptor then provided the keto ester 10 in 68% yield. Hydrolysis-decarboxylation of 10 followed by Friedlander condensation[13] with Schiff's base 11 furnished the quinoline 6 in 72% yield as a white solid.

Oxidative cleavage of the olefin 6 using NaIO₄/OsO₄ (cat.)[14] followed by a Wittig olefination using phosphorane 12[15] gave the α , β -unsaturated ester 13 in 83% yield. By incorporating the ethyl functionality at this stage the problem of low yields and unselective dialkylation obtained in the other syntheses are avoided. Selective deprotection of Cbz using NaI/TMSCl (10eq.)[16] followed by condensation with carbethoxy acetyl chloride provided amide 14 in 66% yield.

Amide 14, which has the functionalities necessary for the proposed intramolecular Michael addition[17], was treated with sodium hydride in THF at room temperature. This reaction furnished the desired tetrahydropyridone 15 in 92% yield as a mixture of diastereomers. Since the tetrahydropyridone 15 has to be oxidized and consequently the chirality of both the diastereomers will be destroyed in the next step in the synthesis of camptothecin, no attempt was made to separate them. Oxidation to pyridone 16 was accomplished in refluxing dioxane using 2 eq. of DDQ[18].

Scheme-2

Selective reduction of pyridone 16 using DIBAL-H (3 eq.)[19] at -60°C gave the aldehyde 17 as an exclusive product in 81% yield. Here selective reduction of the aromatic ester over the aliphatic ester has been achieved, eliminating the need for one ester to be a t-butyl ester. Reductive lactonization in the presence of 1 eq. DIBAL-H or NaBH₄ provided deoxycamptothecin 18 in excellent yields. Conversion of deoxycamptothecin 18 to camptothecin 1 was accomplished employing Danishefsky's procedure[20,21] to give 92%

yield of 1 which had identical spectral properties in all respects to those obtained from natural sources.

In conclusion, an elegant synthesis of camptothecin has been achieved in 14 steps starting from the easily available aminoacid glycine. Selective reduction of an aromatic ester in the presence of an aliphatic ester has been achieved without the need for differently protecting the esters. Incorporation of the ethyl group during the Wittig reaction eliminated the problem of dialkylation encountered during the previous synthesis. The simplicity of the reactions and mild conditions employed coupled with high efficiency makes this synthesis attractive especially from a practical viewpoint.

Acknowledgements: MSV thanks CSIR, New Delhi for a grant of fellowship. Funding under the Young Scientist Scheme is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. T. Ravindranathan, Head, OCT for his constant advice and encouragement.

References:

- # Presented at the sixth NOST Symposium held during April 20-23, 1997 at Lonavla, India.
- [1] Wall ME, Wani MC, Cook CE, Palmer KH, McPhail AT, Sim GA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966; 88: 3888-3890.
- [2] Kingsbury WD, Boehm JC, Jakas DR, Holden KG, Hecht SM, Gallagher G, Caranfa MJ, McCabe FL, Faucette LF, Johnson RK, Hertzberg RP. J. Med. Chem. 1991; 34: 98-107.
- [3] Hutchinson CR. Tetrahedron 1981; 37: 1047-1065.
- [4] Suffness M, Cordell GA. Antitumour Alkaloids In Brossi A, editor The Alkaloids Vol 25. Orlando Florida, Academic press, 1985: 73-89.
- [5] Curran DP, Liu H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992; 114: 5863-5864.
- [6] Rama Rao AV, Yadav JS, Valluri M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994; 35: 3613-3616.
- [7] Comins DL., Baevsky MF, Hong H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992; 114: 10971-10972.
- [8] Jew S-S, Ok KD, Kim H-J, Kim MG, Kim JM, Geong MH, Cho Y-S. Tetrahedron Asymm. 1995; 6: 1248-1248.
- [9] Ciufolini MA, Roschangar F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996; 35: 1692-1694.
- [10] O'Donnell MJ, Polt RL. J. Org. Chem. 1982; 47: 2663-2666.
- [11] Joucla M, El Goumizli M, Fouchet B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986; 27: 1677-1680.
- [12] Hayashi T, Kanehira K, Hagihara T, Kumada M. J. Org. Chem. 1988; 53: 113-120.
- [13] Tang C, Rapoport H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972; 94: 8615-8616.
- [14] Pappo R, Allen DS(Jr.), Lemieux RU, Johnson WS. J. Org. Chem. 1956; 21: 478-479.
- [15] Kuchar M, Kakac B, Nemecek O, Kraus E, Holubek J. Collect. Czech., Chem. Comm. 1973; 38: 447-454.
- [16] Olah GA, Narang SC, Balaram Gupta BG, Malhotra R. J Org. Chem. 1979; 44: 1247-1251.
- [17] Massiot G, Mulamba T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984; 715-716.
- [18] Kametani T, Ohsawa T, Ihara M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981; 1563-1568.
- [19] Kraiss G, Povarny T, Scheiber P, Nador K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973; 2359-2360.
- [20] Shen W, Coburn CA, Bornmann WG, Danishefsky SJ. J. Org. Chem. 1993; 58: 611-617.
- [21] All the compounds were characterized by the analysis of the spectral properties (IR, ¹HNMR, ¹³CMR). All new compounds gave satisfactory elemental analysis.